





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,098	10/656,098 09/04/2003		Hiroshi Kita	990788D2/HG	9919	
1933	7590	01/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	•	Z, GOODMAN &	YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE			
220 Fifth Ave	enue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708				1774		

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,098	KITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774 ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Not</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of the	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/656,098 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. This Office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed November 30, 2005,

which amends the specification and claims 1, 2, 8 and 13.

Claims 1-13 are pending.

2. Most of the issues raised in the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, as set forth

in the Office action mailed September 01, 2005, are overcome by applicant's amendment filed

November 30, 2005. The remaining issue is set forth below.

3. Claims 1-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

The scope of compounds of formula B1 is also not clear in light of the examples set forth

in the specification. Of the examples set forth on pages 42-44, B-11 on page 43 does not meet

all of the limitations of formula B1 because this compound comprises only one of Ar₄₁ and Ar₄₂

as defined in the claims and earlier in the specification, unless the claim terminology has other

than conventional meanings.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,098

Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

5. Claims 1 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inoue et al. (US 5,635,308) for reasons of record in the Office action mailed September 01, 2005.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (US 5,635,308) for reasons of record in the Office action mailed September 01, 2005.
- 8. Claims 2-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka (US 5,717,289) in view of Inoue et al. (US 5,635,308) for reasons of record in the Office action mailed September 01, 2005.
- 9. Claims 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (US 5,635,308) in view of JP 10-025472 for reasons of record in the Office action mailed September 01, 2005.
- 10. Applicant's arguments filed November 30, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, applicant states that in compound B-11, the binaphthyl and naphthyl group correspond to Ar₄₁ and Ar₄₂, respectively, and this is a compound of formula B1 wherein adjacent substituents attached to an imidazole ring are condensed with each other to form a benzene ring.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. The binaphthyl group of B-11 corresponds to R₄₁. The naphthyl group of B-11 corresponds to one of Ar₄₁ and Ar₄₂. The benzene ring that is fused to the imidazole ring is not within the scope of the claim terminology unless the claim terminology has other than conventional meanings because this benzene ring does not represent a case in which one of Ar₄₁ and Ar₄₂ represents an aryl group or an aromatic heterocyclic group. While the claims allow adjacent substituent groups to be condensed with each other to form a ring, condensing to form a ring is not stated as an alternative to the requirement that Ar₄₁ and Ar₄₂ are each independently an aryl group or an aromatic heterocyclic group.

With respect to each of the prior art rejections, applicant argues that Inoue's Compound No. VII-21 is not within the scope of a compound of present formula B1. Applicant argues that the 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'-diyl group of the prior art compound does not meet the limitations of a biaryl group having a bond capable of giving an internal rotational isomerism.

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because they are contradictory to the original disclosure. The 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'-diyl group of the prior art compound is explicitly disclosed in the present specification as an example of the "basic skeleton structure of the substituent having a biaryl group which has the axis capable of giving the internal rotation isomerism". See the last paragraph on page 24 and the sixth formula on page 25. While the

biaryl group formed by removing one hydrogen from the compound of the sixth formula on page 25 may be further substituted, it is apparent from the teachings in the last paragraph on page 24 that further substitution is not required.

Also note the compound represented by formula A-16 on page 39 of the specification. The compound of formula A-16 is an example of a material represented by formula A1 as defined on page 7 of the specification, wherein each of Ar₁₁, Ar₁₂ and Ar₁₃ is a biaryl group formed by removing one hydrogen from the compound of the sixth formula on page 25, with no further substitution. Note that the material of formula A1 must have at least one biaryl group having a bond capable of giving at least two internal rotational isomerism. Since all biaryl groups of the compound of formula A-16 are 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'-diyl groups, 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'-diyl group must meet the limitations of a biaryl group having a bond capable of giving at least two internal rotational isomerism.

Also note that the paragraph bridging pages 23 and 24 teaches "a bonding axis capable of giving the internal rotation isomerism is a bonding axis which cannot freely rotate for 360° by the steric hindrance... such as the axis bonding the naphthalene nuclei in...1,1'-binaphthyl." If the axis bonding the naphthalene nuclei in 1,1'-binaphthyl cannot freely rotate for 360°, it is reasonable to expect that the axis bonding the anthracene nuclei in 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'-diyl cannot freely rotate for 360° since 9,9'-bianthracene-10,10'-diyl is bulkier than 1,1'-binaphthyl.

The examiner also notes the teachings at column 2, lines 60-66 of US 5,972,247 to Shi et al. Shi et al. teach that 9,10-bis(3'5'-diaryl)phenyl anthracene exhibits atropisomerism. Based

Application/Control Number: 10/656,098

Art Unit: 1774

on Shi's disclosure, it is apparent that it is possible for some molecules to exhibit atropisomerism

even though those molecules can, on paper, be drawn as having mirror images that are

superimposable.

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every

other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300. (Unofficial faxes to be sent

directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY

January 12, 2006

Marie K. Ganzaitzly

MARIE YAMNITZKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6

1774